

# **Bond Case Briefs**

*Municipal Finance Law Since 1971*

---

## **TAX - WYOMING**

### **Thomas Gilcrease Foundation v. Cavallaro**

**Supreme Court of Wyoming - June 7, 2017 - P.3d - 2017 WL 2464949 - 2017 WY 67**

Taxpayer, which was trustee of trusts that owned eight parcels of property, brought action against county assessor seeking declaratory judgment that trusts were charitable trusts exempt from property taxation.

The District Court dismissed complaint on basis of primary jurisdiction. Taxpayer appealed.

The Supreme Court of Wyoming held that:

- Taxpayer was required to exhaust administrative remedies prior to bringing action, and
- Primary jurisdiction doctrine warranted dismissal in favor of review through administrative process.

Taxpayer, which was trustee of trusts that owned eight parcels of property, was required to exhaust administrative remedies prior to bringing action against county assessor seeking declaratory judgment that trusts were charitable trusts exempt from property taxation, since taxpayer was not asking court to interpret statutes defining charitable trusts and setting forth charitable trust exemption, but was asking court to determine whether trust was charitable trust exempt from taxation, and such determination was precise function of county assessor and administrative process.

Primary jurisdiction doctrine warranted dismissal in favor of review through administrative process of action by taxpayer, which was trustee of trusts that owned eight parcels of property, against county assessor seeking declaratory judgment that trusts were charitable trusts exempt from property taxation, even if taxpayer was seeking interpretation of phrase “directly beneficial” in statute setting forth charitable trust exemption, since such interpretation did not simply require answer to legal question, but involved significant questions of fact, and determining whether factual situation of trust fell within exemption was best left to expertise of county assessor.