Bond Case Briefs

Municipal Finance Law Since 1971

BDA Continues to Lead Industry Pushback on the PFM and NAMA Requests to Avoid Broker-Dealer Regulation.

Since learning of the October 2018 request from advisory firm PFM in late spring, the BDA has lead industry efforts to push back against the initial request and subsequent efforts from NAMA. Below, is a recap of all BDA advocacy activity, including meeting recaps and an overview of the 3 letters submitted to the SEC.

SEC Request

Currently, the BDA is in the process of drafting an outline response with Committee Leadership to the SEC <u>request for comment</u> on a proposed exemptive order that would grant, in limited circumstances, a conditional exemption from the broker registration requirements of Section 15(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for Certain Activities of Registered Municipal Advisors.

The proposal, which is broad in scope, would permit non-dealer MAs to solicit financial institutions, Registered Investment Advisors and institutional SMMPs in private placement transactions where the entire issue is placed with one account.

After the outline is finalized, the BDA will host a conference call with full Committees to further draft a response.

BDA Advocacy

Following mid-September meetings with leadership at the SEC Office of Trading and Markets, including chief counsel, and the Office of Municipal Securities and Commissioner Robert Jackson, the BDA was tasked with finding a <u>narrow framework for exemptive relief</u>.

While BDA remains opposed to the SEC issuing any form of the requested relief, we believe that, if relief were to be granted, it should be in the form of a narrowly tailored exemptive order that makes clear that engaging in the activity constitutes acting as a broker-dealer but, under the limited circumstances, the SEC would exempt municipal advisors from broker-dealer registration requirements.

Following prior fall meetings with SEC staff, the BDA has sent two prior letters in response to the <u>PFM</u> and <u>NAMA</u> requests for guidance regarding private placement activity by non-dealer municipal advisors.

The September 9th letter, which can be viewed <u>here</u>, focuses on historical precedent, competitive disadvantages and the erosion of investor protections provided by the broker-dealer regulatory regime.

While the first letter submitted by the BDA on June 28th addressed directly the problems that would arise from the request for interpretative guidance if granted, including rolling back decades of settled law on what constitutes broker-dealer activity.

Background

PFM, the municipal advisory firm, sent a letter to the SEC last fall asking that the firm "not be required to register as a broker dealer" when conducting certain placement agent activity. They requested guidance exempting them from BD registration, which they argued "is essential for PFM and other MAs to fulfill their statutory mandate to protect [municipal entity] issuers, and to provide clarity and transparency regarding the role of the MA in municipal financing transactions."

Shortly after learning about the letter, BDA staff met with the SEC and the conversation with SEC staff focused on concerns we have with the request, including that it would negate the substantial regulatory protections under BD regulations in place to protect investors. The BDA also argued that the guidance PFM is asking for would create an unbalanced competitive environment between dealer and non-dealer MAs, and we emphasized that the act of finding investors, even for a direct placement, is inherently BD activity.

Bond Dealers of America

November 5, 2019

Copyright © 2024 Bond Case Briefs | bondcasebriefs.com