Bond Case Briefs

Municipal Finance Law Since 1971

BONDS - FEDERAL

Ponsa-Rabell v. Santander Securities LLC

United States Court of Appeals, First Circuit - May 20, 2022 - F.4th - 2022 WL 1598018

Customers who had purchased municipal bonds from broker brought securities fraud class action against brokerage firm asserting it made material omissions when making the sales, in violation of Securities Exchange Act and Puerto Rico law.

The United States District Court for the District of Puerto Rico adopted report and recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge and dismissed. Customers appealed.

The Court of Appeals held that:

- Brokerage firm was under no duty to repeat information already known or readily accessible to investors, and
- There was no evidence of a special relationship between broker and its customers, as would impose duty on firm to disclose omitted information.

Brokerage firm selling municipal bonds to its customers was under no duty to repeat information already known or readily accessible to the investors to avoid later claim for securities fraud based on the omission; although customers asserted that firm should have disclosed to them in fund prospectus information regarding the deteriorating market conditions for Puerto Rico bonds, it was commonly known to public at the time of the purchase that Puerto Rico was experiencing an economic recession and that its debts might become unpayable.

There was no evidence of a special relationship between brokerage firm and customers who purchased municipal bonds from the firm, as would impose duty on the firm, under securities law, to disclose to customers that, at time of sale, it was actively trying to rid itself of its inventory of municipal bonds because of its concern of risk exposure, given the direction of the market; there was no indication that firm made any special promises to its customers to outline the risks of their investment, or to inform them that any projected risks were materializing.