

# **Bond Case Briefs**

*Municipal Finance Law Since 1971*

---

## **FEHA - CALIFORNIA**

### **Estrada v. City of Los Angeles**

**Court of Appeal, Second District, Division 3, California - July 24, 2013 - Cal.Rptr.3d - 2013 WL 3831352**

Plaintiff Frank Estrada (Estrada) appealed a judgment following a court trial in an action against the City of Los Angeles (the City) for disability discrimination under the California Fair Employment and Housing Act (FEHA).

The essential issue was whether the trial court properly held that Estrada, formerly a volunteer Police Reserve Officer for the City, was not an employee for purposes of the FEHA.

Although Police Reserve Officers are volunteers who serve gratuitously, the City deems these individuals to be “employees” for the limited purpose of extending them workers’ compensation benefits. Such benefits are not remuneration; rather, they help to make the volunteers whole, in the event they are injured while performing their duties.

The appeals court held that the City’s policy decision to extend workers’ compensation benefits to these individuals, who voluntarily put themselves in harm’s way on behalf of the community, does not transform the volunteers’ status to that of “employee” for purposes of FEHA. Accordingly, the trial court properly concluded Estrada was not an employee and therefore could not maintain a cause of action against the City for disability discrimination.