

Bond Case Briefs

Municipal Finance Law Since 1971

ZONING - NORTH CAROLINA

Patmore v. Town of Chapel Hill North Carolina

Court of Appeals of North Carolina - April 1, 2014 - S.E.2d - 2014 WL 1365987

Where defendant enforced a zoning amendment by citing the owners of rental properties rather than their tenants because it was a more effective method of enforcement, their enforcement against property owners was rationally related to the purpose of the zoning restriction and did not violate plaintiffs' right to substantive due process.

[N.C. Gen.Stat. § 160A-301](#) governs a municipality's authority to regulate parking in public vehicular areas, while the zoning amendment was a land use restriction intended to curb over-occupancy of rental properties by limiting the number of cars parked on a rental property. Because the zoning amendment and [N.C. Gen.Stat. § 160A-301](#) did not address the same subject, the principle of *expressio unius est exclusio alterius* did not apply.