

Bond Case Briefs

Municipal Finance Law Since 1971

- [Assessing State and Local Government Debt Refinancing Measures.](#)
- [Non-Dealer MAS: Supervision Rule Could Hurt Market.](#)
- [Bracewell & Giuliani: SEC Municipalities Continuing Disclosure Cooperation Initiative Targets Issuers and Underwriters with a “Prisoner’s Dilemma”](#)
- [Bond Firm’s Gifts to California School Officials Draw Scrutiny.](#)
- [BDA Submits Comment Letter on MSRB Draft Rule G-44.](#)
- [Nossaman Posts Draft Model P3 Legislation for Public Buildings and Invites Comments.](#)
- [Bond Insurance Then & Now: The Revival of an Industry.](#)
- [Pension Reporting Change Has Jurisdictions Reviewing their Funding Policies.](#)
- [To Report or Not - The SEC’s New MCDC Initiative: Ballard Spahr Webinar.](#)
- And finally, the thin line between hope and delusion is tripped over by the couple in [Jerome v. City of St. Paul](#) who purchased a building that had been occupied at various times by the “Moonlight Magic Bar, a bar called Lucy’s, and Wilebski’s Blues Saloon” and had just a few minor code violations, including “a deteriorated roof, a foundation in need of repair, defective interior ceilings, rodent infestation, mold, open plumbing, loose and open electrical wiring, and the lack of a properly installed and operable smoke detector.” Prior to its demolition by the city, the couple told the zoning commission that the first floor would be used for a retail store “of some kind” and that the second floor would be used for a wedding hall. Wedding planners throughout the midwest scramble to make alternate plans.

-