

# Bond Case Briefs

Municipal Finance Law Since 1971

---

- **Ed. Note:** We'll be taking next week off, but will return (without any particular vengeance) on 12/29 to close out the year. May visions of sugarplums dance in your heads. (Aforementioned seasonal pleasantries not applicable in the event that "Sugarplum" is the *nom de pole* of a *danseur* working in your municipality.)
- [Green Bond Best Practice Guide Released for Public Sector.](#)
- [A Guide to Evaluating Pay for Success Programs and Social Impact Bonds.](#)
- [Butler Snow: MSRB's Execution Guidance Under Rule G-18 - Forward With Flexibility.](#)
- [BDA Submits Comment Letter to FINRA and MSRB on Proposed Retail Markup Disclosure and Pricing Reference Rules.](#)
- [BDA Proposes Additional Issue Price Safe Harbors.](#)
- [GASB Issues New Pension Guidance Designed to Assist Certain Governments.](#)
- [Catalina Foothills Unified School Dist. No. 16 v. La Paloma Property Owners Ass'n, Inc.](#) - Court of Appeals holds that school district did not violate statute requiring voter approval for purchase of school sites by condemning private road owned by homeowners association to provide vehicular access into early childhood learning center, as district received approval in bond election in which voters approved proposal to authorize district to acquire property and expend funds for new preschool facility, and district had independent statutory power to acquire property by condemnation at time of bond election.
- [Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. v. Cincinnati](#) - Court of Appeals holds that city was responsible for costs incurred by utility to relocate its utilities to accommodate city-owned streetcar project, invalidating local ordinance to the contrary.
- [Storino, Ramello and Durkin v. Rackow](#) - After village abandons effort to impose special assessment on property owners, appeals court holds that the law firm representing the property owners was entitled to attorneys' fees under contingent fee agreements based, not on the total amount recovered, but on a percentage of the savings from the proposed special assessment.
- And finally, BCB's Department of Irrebuttable Presumptions this week brings you [In re Equalization Appeal of Kansas Star Casino, L.L.C.](#), in which the court concluded that a property's highest and best use was hosting a casino, and not that whole agricultural thing. Should go without saying, no?