

# **Bond Case Briefs**

*Municipal Finance Law Since 1971*

---

## **FINRA ARBITRATION - IDAHO**

### **AXA Advisors, LLC v. Lee**

**United States District Court, D. Idaho - January 27, 2016 - Slip Copy - 2016 WL 335852**

The Lee family lost over a million dollars in investments they made through Douglas Roberts, who was a representative for AXA at the time. The Lees filed an arbitration claim with the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA) against plaintiff AXA Advisors, a broker-dealer and member of FINRA. In their arbitration claim, the Lees claim that AXA failed to properly supervise Roberts.

AXA brought this lawsuit seeking to enjoin the arbitration on the ground that the Lees were never customers of AXA and hence could not compel arbitration under FINRA Rule 12200. Both sides agree – for the purposes of these cross motions only – that (1) AXA is a FINRA member governed by FINRA Rules, (2) Roberts was an “associated person” with AXA at all times relevant here for purposes of FINRA Rule 12200; (3) the Lees dealt exclusively with Roberts and never opened an account with AXA or purchased any services from AXA; and (4) the Lees were customers of Roberts.

The parties diverge, however, in the meaning they attach to these undisputed facts. AXA argues that because the Lees dealt exclusively with Roberts, the Lees were never customers of AXA and hence cannot compel arbitration under Rule 12200. The Lees argue that because they were customers of Roberts who was an associated person with AXA, they are entitled to compel arbitration under Rule 12200.

“The issue boils down to whether it is enough for the Lees to be customers of Roberts, an associated person of AXA, or whether the Lees must be direct customers of AXA.”

The court denied AXA’s motion, holding that the Lees have the right to seek arbitration against AXA under FINRA Rule 12200.