Bond Case Briefs

Municipal Finance Law Since 1971

EMINENT DOMAIN - WASHINGTON

Tapio Investment Company I v. State by and through the Department of Transportation

Court of Appeals of Washington, Division 3 - October 27, 2016 - P.3d - 2016 WL 6301605

Property owner brought inverse condemnation action against Department of Transportation alleged taking of office park during construction of a freeway project.

The Superior Court granted Department's motion for judgment as a matter of law, and property owner appealed.

The Court of Appeals held that:

- Court would not undertake an independent analysis of state constitution's taking provision;
- Order entitled "Final Limited Access Order" was not an administrative regulation warranting a regulatory takings analysis;
- Construction in the neighborhood of owner's property was not a taking; and
- Property owner could not establish that property manager had sufficient personal knowledge of emails to establish exhibit's relevance.

"Action" undertaken by Department of Transportation in which Department began freeway construction in the neighborhood of owner's office park, did not constitute a taking. Businesses in the vicinity of freeway project did not suffer a harm that was compensable in an inverse condemnation proceeding, and just because a portion of owner's property was expected to be taken in the future did not make it different from its neighbors in that respect.

Copyright © 2024 Bond Case Briefs | bondcasebriefs.com