Bond Case Briefs

Municipal Finance Law Since 1971

Senate Panel Told P3s Won't Work for Rural Areas, Tax-Exempts Are Key.

WASHINGTON – Municipal bonds are a "crucial component" of any infrastructure plan and their tax-exempt status must be preserved, a county official from Oklahoma representing the National Association of Counties told members of a Senate committee on Wednesday.

Transportation officials from rural states said during the hearing held by the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee that public-private partnerships won't work for them. The hearing was on "Modernizing our Nation's Infrastructure."

The comments were not exactly an endorsement of President Trump's $1 trillion infrastructure plan to bring in private investment to help finance the repair and development the nation's roads, bridges, and other infrastructure projects.

Cindy Bobbitt, a commissioner of Grant County, Okla., who was representing NACo, told committee members that, "Between 2003 and 2012, counties, states and other localities invested $3.2 trillion in infrastructure through long-term, tax-exempt municipal bonds, 2.5 times more than the federal investment."

Bobbitt, who noted that munis have low default rates and are often approved by both legislatures and the voters, said, "Simply stated, the tax exemption of municipal bond interest from the federal income tax represents one of the best examples of the federal-state-local partnership."

She pointed out that two thirds of the nation's 3,069 counties are considered rural with a combined population of 60 million and face challenges such as declining populations and a limited ability to raise revenue for capital projects.

Among her recommendations were that Congress should make federal highway dollars available for locally owned infrastructure. Local governments own 78% of the nation's road miles, including 43% of federal-aid highways and 50% of the National Bridge Inventory, she said.

Committee chairman Sen. John Barrasso, R-Wyo., asked Bill Panos, the director and CEO of the Wyoming Department of Transportation who also testified, whether rural or smaller states could use Build America Bonds for infrastructure, though they would have to be reauthorized.

"Wyoming has never borrowed for transportation" because its "high cost per capita … discourages borrowing," Panos said. But he later said the state has issued grant anticipation revenue vehicles, or Garvees.

Barrasso said data from the U.S. Treasury Department shows rural states issued a lot of BABs in 2009 and 2010 when they were authorized by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act. BABs are taxable bonds for which Treasury makes subsidy payments to issuers equal to roughly 35% of their interest costs.

Panos, who was also speaking on behalf of the transportation departments in Idaho, Montana, North Dakota, and South Dakota, some of which issued BABs, told committee members that P3s and other approaches to infrastructure investment that depend on positive revenue streams from projects "are not a surface transportation infrastructure solution for rural states."

P3s would be unlikely to attract investors even with tax credits, he said. Part of the problem is that roads in rural states tend to have relatively low traffic volumes, he said.

Panos said Congress must strengthen the Highway Trust Fund, which will no longer be able to support surface transportation programs after 2020, when funding from the Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act (FAST) ends.

He also said certainty is important for transportation planners. He applauded the FAST Act's providing several years of transportation funding, but said Congress' track record of passing continuing resolutions, restricting funds to the previous years' levels, instead of annual appropriations bills, creates uncertainty.

Sen. Deb Fischer, R-Neb., a committee member, said she's proposed legislation (S. 271) to shore up the Highway Trust Fund and to provide states with more flexibility in how federal funding is spent on infrastructure projects. "I think it's really important to look at a federal revenue source without raising taxes," she said during the hearing. Her bill would transfer revenues from U.S. Customs and Border Protection to the HTF.

"If we have certainty we can make better plans" and that will lead to lower costs, said Shailen Bhatt, executive director of the Colorado Department of Transportation, who also testified.

Most of the witnesses agreed with the current funding formulas that divide federal funds between highway and transit programs and urged that they be continued.

While the committee paid particular attention to the needs of rural areas, Bobbitt pointed out that farms and businesses in agricultural-based rural areas need roads and bridges to deliver products to urban areas. "It's not rural vs. urban," she said, adding, "We're all in this together."

The Bond Buyer

By Lynn Hume

February 8, 2017

Copyright © 2026 Bond Case Briefs | bondcasebriefs.com