

Bond Case Briefs

Municipal Finance Law Since 1971

TAX - PENNSYLVANIA

Valley Forge Towers Apartments N, LP v. Upper Merion Area School District

Supreme Court of Pennsylvania - July 5, 2017 - A.3d - 2017 WL 2859007

Taxpayers brought action against school district, as a taxing district, seeking declaratory and injunctive relief on the theory that the district violated the Uniformity Clause of the Pennsylvania Constitution by systematically appealing only assessments of commercial properties.

The Court of Common Pleas sustained district's preliminary objections and dismissed the complaint with prejudice. Taxpayers appealed. The Commonwealth Court affirmed. Taxpayers appealed.

The Supreme Court of Pennsylvania held that:

- Taxpayers could invoke equity jurisdiction of Court of Common Pleas to seek declaratory and injunctive relief based on theory that school district violated Uniformity Clause, and
- Uniformity Clause did not permit school district to selectively appeal only assessments of commercial properties, such as apartment complexes, while choosing not to appeal assessments of other types of property, such as single-family residential homes.

Taxpayers could invoke equity jurisdiction of Common Pleas Court to seek declaratory and injunctive relief based on theory that school district, as taxing district, violated the Uniformity Clause of the Pennsylvania Constitution by systematically appealing only assessments of commercial properties. Statutory appeals process was not designed to provide declaratory or injunctive relief, strict adherence to the process would implicate concerns relating to piecemeal litigation and inadequacy of statutory remedy, and adjudicatory process by board of assessment appeals was solely directed at ascertaining the subject property's value and applying ratio to that value.

Uniformity Clause of the Pennsylvania Constitution did not permit school district, as taxing district, to selectively appeal only assessments of commercial properties, such as apartment complexes, while choosing not to appeal assessments of other types of property, such as single-family residential homes. All property in taxing district was single class, Uniformity Clause did not permit government to treat different property sub-classifications in disparate manner, and nondiscriminatory methods of deciding which properties to appeal existed.