

# **Bond Case Briefs**

*Municipal Finance Law Since 1971*

---

## **MUNICIPAL ORDINANCE - WASHINGTON**

### **Emerald Enterprises, LLC v. Clark County**

**Court of Appeals of Washington, Division 2 - March 13, 2018 - P.3d - 2018 WL 1280788**

Applicant for retail license to sell marijuana within unincorporated county brought declaratory judgment action against county, alleging that state law legalizing recreational marijuana preempted a county ordinance that banned the retail sale of recreational marijuana.

The Superior Court entered summary judgment in favor of county. Applicant appealed and also filed appeal in a related land use case.

The Court of Appeals held that:

- Ordinance did not prohibit what state law permitted, as element in determining whether ordinance irreconcilably conflicted with state law and thus was preempted by it;
- Ordinance did not thwart the legislative purpose of state law, as element in determining whether ordinance irreconcilably conflicted with state law and thus was preempted by it;
- Ordinance did not exercise authority reserved by state law, as element in determining whether ordinance irreconcilably conflicted with state law and thus was preempted by it;
- State law did not expressly preempt ordinance; and
- State law did not impliedly preempt ordinance.