

Bond Case Briefs

Municipal Finance Law Since 1971

- **Ed. Note:** We're all gonna die! Eventually. In the course of assembling this week's newsletter, we came across a few Covid-related items that may not necessarily be pertinent to your practice, but that might be of value to clients or other members of the firm. These include: [federal banking updates](#), [force majeure](#), [employment](#), and [higher ed](#).
- [The SEC's Fixed Income Market Structure Advisory Committee Approves Two New Recommendations.](#)
- [Talking about The Thing: Squire Patton Boggs](#)
- [Fitch Webinar: Coronavirus Effects for U.S. States and Local Governments](#)
- [Muni Market Support for Federal Reserve Intervention Grows.](#)
- [BDA Urges Fed to Take Action to Assist Municipal Market.](#)
- And finally, Location, Location, Location? is brought to us this week by [Hochstein v. Cedar County Board of Adjustment](#), in which the Supreme Court of Nebraska weighed in on a neighborhood dispute. Neighbor A owns a "4,500 animal unit feedlot." Neighbor B owns the 900 acre adjoining farm, on which it applied to build a home. Now one might expect this dispute to concern the mitigation of what must be the unthinkable stench emanating from the feedlot. Nope. Neighbor B was petitioning to build his home CLOSER to the stench. And at no point did the opinion bother to explain, a) WHY Neighbor B wanted to cozy up to the feedlot, or 2) WHY Neighbor A would begin to care. Goddamn you Nebraska Supreme Court! We'll be circulating a petition.