

[Bond Case Briefs](#)

Municipal Finance Law Since 1971

- [August Edition of GFR Now Available.](#)
- [SEC Risk Alert for Municipal Advisors Highlights Key Compliance Issues: Ballard Spahr](#)
- [Inflation Reduction Act: Implications for Solar and Wind Tax Credit Equity Markets – Jones Walker](#)
- [NFMA Advanced Seminar on Public Power.](#)
- [CDFA Infrastructure Finance Learning Series: Reviewing the Guidance](#)
- [Fifth Circuit Condemns Texas Transmission ROFR Law on Constitutional Grounds: Bracewell](#)
- [First Circuit Holds that Fifth Amendment Takings Claims Must be Paid in Full: Dechert](#)
- And finally, [You Keep Using That Word. I Do Not Think It Means What You Think It Means.](#) is brought to us this week by [Frein v. Pennsylvania State Police](#), in which Circuit Judge Stephanos Bibas began his opinion as follows, “Although police may seize potential evidence using a warrant, they may not keep it forever. Yet they did that here.” Forever, you say? And yet here you are adjudicating a case about the return of that very evidence. Hmm. But let’s not let that distract us from the heartwarming tale of the parents whose son murdered a state trooper and later sued for the return of the firearms seized in the ensuing investigation. Who could possibly have guessed that there could be violence brewing in a household containing, “forty-six guns belonging to the parents: twenty-five rifles, nineteen pistols, and two shotguns.” Although only two in number, at least the shotguns had each other.