

Bond Case Briefs

Municipal Finance Law Since 1971

- **Ed. Note:** We'll be off 12/27. Double Dose O' Drivel 1/3/23!
- [GASB Proposes Guidance To Assist Stakeholders With Application Of Its Pronouncements.](#)
- [New GFOA Federal Funds Training Scheduled for January.](#)
- [MSRB Amends Rule A-12, on Registration, and Provides Accompanying Form A-12 Changes.](#)
- [MSRB Proposes Extending Filing Deadlines.](#)
- [New State and Local Government Financial Reporting Requirements Headed to Biden's Desk.](#)
- [S&P: As Threats Rise, U.S. Public Finance Entities Take On Mounting Challenges To Secure The Digital Front Line](#)
- [Startup Uses Blockchain for Muni-Bond Deals in an Industry First.](#)
- [How a Trick 62% Coupon Helped Sell a Wisconsin School Bond Deal.](#)
- [Jackson v. Mayor of Detroit](#) - Court of Appeals holds that the preclusive doctrine prevented citizens from challenging bond issuances after the fact.
- And finally, Further Adventures In *Pro Se* Plaintiffness is brought to us this week by the above-referenced [Jackson v. Detroit](#), in which some dude and his buddies challenged Detroit's issuance of some, uh, long-ago-issued, uh, issuances. As per *pro se* standards, there were indeed some hiccups. As the three-judge panel noted - through clearly gritted *en banc* teeth - "Plaintiffs challenge the '2020 Prop N bond,' which was supposedly issued in February 2021. But plaintiffs did not identify this bond in their complaint, nor is there any evidence showing that such bond existed." The ever-elusive phantom bond issuance strikes again.