

Bond Case Briefs

Municipal Finance Law Since 1971

Judge Denies Big Banks, Allowing San Diego, Other Cities to Push Bond Collusion Claim.

A federal judge on Thursday said San Diego and other U.S. cities may pursue class-action claims accusing large banks of driving up interest rates on a popular municipal bond.

U.S. District Judge Jesse Furman in Manhattan rejected efforts by eight banks – Bank of America, Barclays, Citigroup, Goldman Sachs, JPMorgan Chase, Morgan Stanley, Royal Bank of Canada and Wells Fargo – to require cities to pursue claims individually, likely reducing potential recoveries.

In addition to San Diego, cities led by Baltimore and Philadelphia accused the banks of colluding to raise rates on more than 12,000 variable-rate demand obligations (VRDOs) from 2008 to 2016.

They said this reduced available funding for hospitals, power and water supplies, schools and transportation, and likely caused billions of dollars in damages.

Once a more than \$400-billion market, VRDOs are long-term bonds with short-term rates that typically reset weekly. Banks must remarket VRDOs that investors redeem at the lowest possible rates.

Cities accused the banks of conspiring not to compete for re-marketing services, and artificially inflating rates by sharing information about bond inventories and planned rate changes.

In opposing class certification, the banks said differences among the bonds would require many thousands of individualized examinations into whether rate inflation occurred, making a single class-action lawsuit unwieldy.

But in a 33-page decision, Furman said two financial market specialists who the cities hired as expert witnesses established that the alleged collusion could have a class-wide impact.

“Of course, it remains an open question whether, assuming plaintiffs paid supra-competitive interest, that payment was caused by defendants’ allegedly anti-competitive behavior,” Furman wrote. “Whatever the answer to this question may be, however, it is a common question.”

Barclays, Citigroup and JPMorgan declined to comment. The other banks and their lawyers did not immediately respond to requests for comment.

Dan Brockett, a lawyer for the cities, said they were gratified by the decision.

The VRDOs market shrank to \$72 billion by the end of 2022, according to the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board.

The case is Philadelphia et al v Bank of America Corp et al, U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York, No. 19-01608.

by Reuters

(Reporting by Jonathan Stempel in New York; editing by Marguerita Choy)

Copyright © 2025 Bond Case Briefs | bondcasebriefs.com