Bond Case Briefs

Municipal Finance Law Since 1971

Taxing the Crisis: Can Municipal Tax Hikes Mitigate Bondholder Risks in Stressed Districts?

The fiscal health of U.S. municipalities hangs in a precarious balance, with states like Illinois, cities like Chicago, and California's major urban centers grappling with deficits, pension obligations, and climate-driven costs. As these regions turn to tax hikes to stabilize budgets, bondholders face a critical question: Can these measures effectively mitigate risk, or do they merely mask deeper systemic vulnerabilities?

The Fiscal Abyss

Illinois leads the parade of distressed states, projecting a **$3 billion shortfall** in fiscal year 2026 amid rising pension liabilities and stagnant revenues. Chicago's FY 2025 budget is **$1 billion out of balance**—over 5% of its revenue—driven by unfunded retiree healthcare costs and dwindling federal aid. Meanwhile, California's San Francisco faces an **$876 million deficit**, while Los Angeles and Oakland grapple with similar shortfalls. These gaps are exacerbated by climate-related expenses: Houston's $100 million drainage mandate and Cape Cod's wastewater upgrades highlight how environmental costs are now a fixed fiscal burden.

Tax Increases as a Band-Aid or Lifeline?

To close gaps, stressed issuers are leveraging tax policy:

- **Illinois** raised gas taxes to $0.483/gallon, imposed a $1/cigarette pack surcharge, and expanded sales taxes to online services.
- **Chicago** is considering a $0.50/bet fee on sports gambling and exploring higher property taxes on vacation rentals.
- **California** is debating a $2.7 billion sales tax expansion on services, while Seattle's payroll tax on high earners funds affordable housing.

Continue reading.

aiinvest.com

by Cyrus Cole

Monday, Jul 7, 2025 8:53 am ET