

Bond Case Briefs

Municipal Finance Law Since 1971

- **Ed. Note:** We'll be publishing bi-monthly through the end of August. We're desperately fighting off the Dog Day puns. Seriously.
- [NFMA Comments on GASB Exposure Draft, Infrastructure Assets.](#)
- [\\$1.1 Trillion a Year in Federal Funding at Risk in Proposed OMB Grant Overhaul, Municipal Leaders Warn.](#)
- [House Committee Advances New Reporting Requirements for Tax-Exempt Hospitals: Davis Wright Tremaine](#)
- [Bitcoin-Backed Muni Bond Fails to Get New Hampshire Sign Off.](#)
- [5th and Walnut Parking LLC v. City of Des Moines](#) – Supreme Court of Iowa holds that development agreement between city and developers of parking garage, tower, and theater project, which stated that “The obligations of City under this Agreement shall not constitute a general obligation of the City,” did not limit breach of contract damages to special fund consisting of revenue from tax-increment financing.
- And Finally, Do Indulge Me is brought to us this week by [Arrington v. Satcher](#), in which the Fulton County Board of Commissioners withdrew its ethics complaint against its fellow Commissioner, Marvin Arrington, Jr, related to conduct he allegedly exhibited during his representation of a criminal defendant. The Commissioners declined to listen to recordings of the jailhouse calls ‘tween Marv and his client due to privilege concerns and thus the matter stalled out. An aggrieved Marvin then sought a writ of mandamus preemptively requiring his fellow Commissioners to recuse themselves from any subsequent ethics investigations that might arise. Prospective, preemptive, pardons? Excellent idea! Now that you’ve got a taste for it, think of all the other agencies (taxing or otherwise) you might wish to similarly restrain! I think you’re on to something! That pesky Martin Luther fella might come ‘round with a Post-It Note, but that’ll blow over in a millennia or so...